
The Role of Stable Complexes that Repress and Activate Eukaryotic Genes [and Discussion]

D. D. Brown and P. N. Schofield

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 1984 **307**, 297-299
doi: 10.1098/rstb.1984.0130

References

Article cited in:

<http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/307/1132/297#related-urls>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click [here](#)

To subscribe to *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* go to: <http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions>

The role of stable complexes that repress and activate eukaryotic genes

BY D. D. BROWN

Department of Embryology, Carnegie Institution of Washington, 115 West University Parkway, Baltimore, Maryland 21210, U.S.A.

The state of activity or repression of 5S RNA genes is built into the chromatin in the form of stable complexes. The characteristics and composition of these complexes will be described. Stable transcription complexes that activate 5S RNA genes consist of multiple factors bound tightly to a control region of the gene. Repressed 5S RNA genes do not have these factors bound to them; their repressed state is maintained by nucleosomes.

Two kinds of multi-gene families that encode 5S ribosomal RNA are under developmental control in *Xenopus* (see Brown 1982). The smaller family comprising about 400 copies of the gene is called the somatic 5S RNA genes (Peterson *et al.* 1980); they encode greater than 95% of the 5S RNA synthesized by somatic cells. The larger gene family, the oöcyte 5S RNA genes, number about 20 000 copies per haploid complement of DNA (and therefore 98% of the animal's 5S RNA genes). They are active in growing oöcytes (Ford & Southern 1973; Wegnez *et al.* 1972) but silent in somatic cells. We are trying to understand the molecular basis of this gene control using two kinds of experiments. First, cloned genes are transcribed in extracts from either oöcyte or somatic cells (Birkenmeier *et al.* 1978; Pelham *et al.* 1981). To date, transcription experiments *in vitro* have not been able to account for the thousand-fold discrimination between these two kinds of genes that occurs *in vivo* in somatic cells. The second method uses somatic cell chromatin that is already under developmental control to determine the molecules that repress the oöcyte 5S RNA genes and those that activate the somatic 5S RNA genes (Bogenhagen *et al.* 1982). The assay for these studies is transcription of 5S RNA.

TRANSCRIPTION OF CLONED GENES *IN VITRO*

The experiments with cloned genes have made us aware of a structure that we call the transcription complex (Bogenhagen *et al.* 1982). A minimum of three different components are needed to program the gene into an active or potentially active state (Segall *et al.* 1980). They form a complex with the gene's internal control region that is remarkably stable. RNA polymerase III accurately initiates transcription on such an activated gene, whereas, the polymerase cannot do so on naked DNA (Cozzarelli *et al.* 1983). The polymerase recognizes the transcription complex but is not an integral part of it. Many rounds of RNA synthesis can occur without disruption of the complex (Bogenhagen *et al.* 1982).

The formation of a transcription complex is inhibited by omitting an essential component (Bogenhagen *et al.* 1982). The partial complex is unstable and formation of a complete complex is blocked by the prior addition of histones (and probably other basic proteins as well). When we compared cloned oöcyte with somatic 5S RNA genes by these criteria, we found that both kinds of genes form stable complexes with the same components. One difference between the two genes that we believe has significance is that the somatic 5S RNA genes bind one of the

three essential components about four times more tightly than do oöcyte 5S RNA genes (Sakonju & Brown 1982). Two base changes in the centre of the 5S RNA gene which is the binding site of this protein (called TFIIIA, factor A or 40 kDa protein in various papers) mediates this difference. The difference is in the right direction, but clearly inadequate to explain the huge developmental discrimination that occurs *in vivo*.

TRANSCRIPTION OF CHROMATIN *IN VITRO*

The states of somatic and oöcyte 5S RNA genes in somatic cell chromatin resemble the active and repressed complexes that can be formed *in vitro* on cloned 5S RNA genes. Both states are stable. Repressed oöcyte 5S RNA genes in somatic cell chromatin are not activated by the addition of transcription factors (Bogenhagen *et al.* 1982; Wormington *et al.* 1982) and active somatic 5S RNA genes are not repressed by added histones in reasonable amounts (Schlissel & Brown 1984). The repressed state of oöcyte 5S RNA genes in chromatin is mediated by histone H1 (Schlissel & Brown 1984). Any treatment that destroys or removes histone H1 derepresses the oöcyte 5S RNA genes, i.e. these genes become available to form active transcription complexes with added transcription factors. No partial supplementation will activate these genes, which leads to the conclusion that the oöcyte 5S RNA genes in somatic cell chromatin are not associated with any of the three required components needed to form a functional and stable transcription complex. Since derepressed oöcyte 5S RNA genes can be repressed again by adding histone H1 in one copy per 200 base pairs of DNA, we have concluded that the repression involves the other histones presumably in a nucleosome structure.

In contrast, the somatic 5S RNA genes in somatic cell chromatin are in active stable transcription complexes. Conditions that derepress the oöcyte 5S RNA genes do not affect the activated somatic 5S RNA genes. Levels of exogenous histone H1 that repress again the derepressed oöcyte 5S RNA genes (one molecule per 200 base pairs of DNA) only slightly inhibit the activated somatic 5S RNA genes. The active transcription complex withstands salt concentrations that derepress the oöcyte 5S RNA genes. These differences are a function of the kind of complex not the kind of gene. Thus, active complexes formed around oöcyte genes are as stable as those formed around somatic genes (Schlissel & Brown 1984).

These studies have focused our attention on the two kinds of complexes that are involved in the activation and repression of genes. Our experiments suggest that a repressed gene is a gene devoid of transcription factors. Its repressed state is mediated by histone H1, presumably as part of complete nucleosomes. Repression of 5S RNA genes is viewed as general in the sense that nucleosomes are pervasive, while activation of the gene is specific since at least one of the factors that activates the gene is specific for 5S RNA genes (Engelke *et al.* 1980; Pelham & Brown 1980). In the case of the 5S RNA gene, there are a minimum of three such factors that are required to form the complex rendering RNA polymerase III capable of binding to, and initiating at, the start site of the gene, specifically (Segall *et al.* 1980). Repressed and activated states are not in equilibrium. One state must be perturbed in order to establish the other state.

CONCLUSION

I have proposed that certain features of the determined (or committed) state of a cell can be accounted for by these principles that involve transcription complexes (Brown 1984). If a gene is committed for activity by the formation of an active transcription complex with its

control region (analogous to prokaryotic promoters), then the stability of the complex can maintain its activity (Bogenhagen *et al.* 1982). Cooperative interactions between multiple components of the complex not only stabilize the complex but can help to reform it should it be perturbed as, for example, must happen at DNA replication. The most difficult events to model are those involving asymmetric determination events. These are programmed events during embryogenesis when two different cell types are formed from a single cell division. Identical genes in sister chromatids must have different functional fates. We presume that the beginning of asymmetry in an embryo must be caused by localization of transcription factors in the egg cytoplasm.

This research has been supported in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

- Birkenmeier, E. H., Brown, D. D. & Jordan, E. 1978 A nuclear extract of *Xenopus laevis* oocytes that accurately transcribe 5S RNA genes. *Cell* **15**, 1077–1086.
- Bogenhagen, D. F., Wormington, W. M. & Brown, D. D. 1982 Stable transcription complexes of *Xenopus* 5S RNA genes: a means to maintain the differentiated state. *Cell* **28**, 413–421.
- Brown, D. D. 1982 How a simple animal gene works. The Harvey Lectures **76**, 27–44.
- Brown, D. D. 1984 The role of stable complexes that repress and activate eukaryotic genes. *Cell* **37**, 359–365.
- Cozzarelli, N. R., Gerrard, S. P., Schlissel, M., Brown, D. D. & Bogenhagen, D. F. 1983 Purified RNA polymerase III accurately and efficiently terminates transcription of 5S RNA genes. *Cell* **34**, 829–835.
- Engelke, D. R., Ng, S. Y., Shastry, B. S. & Roeder, R. G. 1980 Specific interaction of a purified transcription factor with an internal control region of 5S RNA genes. *Cell* **19**, 717–728.
- Ford, P. J. & Southern, E. M. 1973 Different sequences for 5S RNA in kidney cells and ovaries of *Xenopus laevis*. *Nature, new Biol.* **241**, 7–12.
- Pelham, H. R. B. & Brown, D. D. 1980 A specific transcription factor that can bind either the 5S RNA gene or 5S RNA. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **77**, 4170–4174.
- Pelham, H. R. B., Wormington, W. M. & Brown, D. D. 1981 Related 5S RNA transcription factors in *Xenopus* oocytes and somatic cells. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **78**, 1760–1764.
- Peterson, R. C., Doering, J. L. & Brown, D. D. 1980 Characterization of two *Xenopus* somatic 5S DNAs and one minor oocyte-specific 5S DNA. *Cell* **20**, 131–141.
- Sakonju, S. & Brown, D. D. 1982 Contact points between a positive transcription factor and the *Xenopus* 5S RNA gene. *Cell* **31**, 395–405.
- Schlissel, M. & Brown, D. D. 1984 The transcriptional regulation of *Xenopus* 5S RNA genes in chromatin: the roles of stable active transcription complexes and histone H 1. *Cell* **37**, 903–913.
- Segall, J., Matsui, T. & Roeder, R. G. 1980 Multiple factors are required for the accurate transcription of purified genes by RNA polymerase III. *J. biol. Chem.* **255**, 11986–11991.
- Wegnez, M., Monier, R. & Denis, H. 1972 Sequence heterogeneity of 5S RNA in *Xenopus laevis*. *FEBS Lett.* **25**, 13–20.
- Wormington, W. M., Schlissel, M. & Brown, D. D. 1982 Developmental regulation of the *Xenopus* 5S RNA genes. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. quant. Biol.* **47**, 879–884.

Discussion

P. N. SCHOFIELD (*Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, U.K.*). Has Dr Brown measured the nucleosomal repeat length in chromatin to which he has added back H1?

D. D. BROWN. The nucleosomal repeat length is always irregular if the chromatin has been derepressed by a salt wash. It is more regular if it has been derepressed at low salt with Bio Rex. The readdition of H1 does not change the previous spacing. Thus, spacing does not correlate with activity.